DAAE: A Double Accelerating Contrastive Learning Framework

Zixuan Pan, Zihao Wei 04/26/2022



Background & Motivation



Background & Motivation

- The inherent augmentation effect of resizing has been neglected.
- Directly use resize can have out of distribution problem / incoherence representation size problem.
- Contrastive Learning can make full advantage of augmentations.
- Inspired by Masked Autoencoder:
 - Masking be treated as a kind of strong blurring augmentation
 - Reconstruction target can be combined with contrastive models
- Downsampling is similar to masking, which can lower the images' dimension and speed up the training process.
- Vision Transformers can acquiring coherent-size representation from image of different shapes.



Methodology



Architecture - DAAE

- Asymmetric Contrastive Learning Framework
- Downsampling & Masking as augmentation
- Contrastive Learning Loss between two tracks
- Reconstruction Loss of the MAE track





Experiment



ImageNet Classification

Faster & Better

Model	Top1 Acc	Epoch	Wall time		Model	Top1 Acc	Epoch	Wall time
MAE	83.3	1600	$2.05 \times$	-	MAE	42.5	800	$1.08 \times$
DAAE(ours)	83.5	600	$1 \times$		DAAE(ours)	42.8	550	1×
					DAAE(ours)	44.8	800	$1.45 \times$

ImageNet-1K

ImageNet-mini (about 2% 1K)



ImageNet Classification

Finetuing Accuracy w.r.t training epoch



Model	Top1 Acc	Epoch	Wall time
MAE	42.5	800	$1.08 \times$
DAAE(ours)	42.8	550	1 ×
DAAE(ours)	44.8	800	$1.45 \times$

ImageNet-mini (about 2% 1K)



Ablation

Data Augmentation

Augmentation	Top1 Acc
resize only	38.9
resize + crop $(0.2 - 1)$	39.2
resize + crop + color jitter + grey scale	39.5
resize (0.08 - 1)	38.7

Feature Position

Augmentation	Top1 Acc		
symmetric feature	39.4		
asymmetric feature	39.5		



Q & A



Thank you !

